

MAR 17 2008

MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOSE LUIS CANEDO ROJAS,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 07-74586

Agency No. A97-347-236

MEMORANDUM *

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 10, 2008 **

Before: T.G. NELSON, TASHIMA and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying petitioner's application for cancellation of removal and denying his request to administratively close his removal proceedings.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Respondent's unopposed motion to dismiss in part this petition for review is granted. We lack jurisdiction to review a discretionary decision regarding exceptional and extremely unusual hardship. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i); *Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003); *Montero-Martinez v. Ashcroft*, 277 F.3d 1137, 1144 (9th Cir. 2002).

The questions raised by this petition for review as to the BIA's denial of petitioner's request to administratively close his removal proceedings are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir.1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). Accordingly, respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition in part is also granted.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED in part; DENIED in part.